

# 2015 - 2016 Report Card for Ontario Local School District

## DISTRICT GRADE

Coming in  
2018



### Achievement

The Achievement component represents the number of students who passed the state tests and how well they performed on them.

#### COMPONENT GRADE

C

Performance Index	
74.6%.....	C
Indicators Met	
58.6%.....	D



### Progress

The Progress component looks closely at the growth that all students are making based on their past performances.

#### COMPONENT GRADE

D

Value-Added	
Overall.....	F
Gifted.....	F
Students with Disabilities.....	C
Lowest 20% in Achievement.....	C



### Gap Closing

The Gap Closing component shows how well schools are meeting the performance expectations for our most vulnerable populations of students in English language arts, math and graduation.

#### COMPONENT GRADE

F

Annual Measurable Objectives	
49.2%.....	F



### Graduation Rate

The Graduation Rate component looks at the percent of students who are successfully finishing high school with a diploma in four or five years.

#### COMPONENT GRADE

A

Graduation Rates	
95.4% of students graduated in 4 years.....	A
98.3% of students graduated in 5 years.....	A



### K-3 Literacy

The K-3 Literacy component looks at how successful the school is at getting struggling readers on track to proficiency in third grade and beyond.

#### COMPONENT GRADE

C

K-3 Literacy Improvement	
48.1%.....	C



### Prepared for Success

Whether training in a technical field or preparing for work or college, the Prepared for Success component looks at how well prepared Ohio's students are for all future opportunities.

#### COMPONENT GRADE

C

# Achievement



The Achievement component represents the number of students who passed the state tests and how well they performed on them.

COMPONENT GRADE

C


GRADE

C

## Performance Index

The Performance Index measures the test results of every student, not just those who score proficient or higher. There are six levels on the index and districts receive points for every student in each of these levels. The higher the achievement level the more the points awarded in the district's index. This rewards schools and districts for improving the performance of all students, regardless of achievement level.

### Performance Index




74.6%


89.5 of a possible 120.0

- A = 90.0 - 100.0%
- B = 80.0 - 89.9%
- C = 70.0 - 79.9%
- D = 50.0 - 69.9%
- F = 0.0 - 49.9%

Achievement Level	Pct of Students		Points for this Level		Points Received
Advanced Plus	0.6	x	1.3	=	0.8
Advanced	14.9	x	1.2	=	17.9
Accelerated	22.6	x	1.1	=	24.9
Proficient	31.5	x	1.0	=	31.5
Basic	18.2	x	0.6	=	10.9
Limited	11.7	x	0.3	=	3.5
Untested	0.4	x	0.0	=	0.0
					89.5



Performance Index Trend



GRADE  
**D**


Indicators Met

Indicators Met measures the percent of students who have passed state tests. It also includes the gifted indicator. Test results are reported for each student in a grade and subject.

Indicators Met %

**58.6%**  
17 out of 29

A = 90.0 - 100.0%  
B = 80.0 - 89.9%  
C = 70.0 - 79.9%  
D = 50.0 - 69.9%  
F = 0.0 - 49.9%



Grades 3-5

<b>3rd Grade</b>	English Language Arts	67.1%	✗
	Mathematics	62.2%	✗
<b>4th Grade</b>	English Language Arts	64.1%	✗
	Mathematics	77.8%	✓
	Social Studies	84.3%	✓
<b>5th Grade</b>	English Language Arts	69.0%	✗
	Mathematics	80.4%	✓
	Science	77.8%	✓

Grades 6-8




<b>6th Grade</b>	English Language Arts	67.5%	✗
	Mathematics	61.4%	✗
	Social Studies	77.9%	✓
<b>7th Grade</b>	English Language Arts	65.7%	✗
	Mathematics	62.1%	✗
<b>8th Grade</b>	English Language Arts	42.7%	✗
	Mathematics	78.0%	✓
	Science	71.0%	✓

GIFTED INDICATOR ✗




High School

<b>OGT, 11th Graders</b>	Mathematics	97.3%	✓
	Reading	98.6%	✓
	Science	96.6%	✓
	Social Studies	98.0%	✓
	Writing	97.3%	✓
<b>HS</b>	Algebra I	74.7%	✓
	Biology	88.2%	✓
	English I	79.2%	✓
	English II	63.7%	✗
	Geometry	69.0%	✗
	Government	87.6%	✓
	History	87.7%	✓
	Math I	NC	
	Physical Science	NC	


Achievement Levels by Grade




Proficient Percent Trend by Grade




6th Grade




6th Grade




7th Grade




7th Grade



8th Grade





8th Grade



11th Grade Cumulative OGT

11th Grade Cumulative OGT

# 2015 - 2016 Report Card for Ontario Local School District



## Gifted Students



The Gifted Students data and Indicator highlight the opportunities for and performance of gifted students. The dashboard answers several questions: How many students are identified as gifted and in what categories? How many of those students are receiving gifted services? How well are those gifted students performing? The Gifted Indicator measures whether opportunity and performance expectations are being met for gifted students.

INDICATOR

Not Met

### Overview

The Gifted Indicator is derived from three components: Gifted Value Added grade, the Performance Index for gifted students, and a Gifted Inputs score.

#### Gifted Value Added

Value Added Grade:	F	Districts must earn a Gifted Value Added grade of C or better to meet the Gifted Value Added component. A grade of "NR" results in Not Met if the district has an ADM of 600 or more.
Enrollment:	1,905	
Value Added Met?:	Not Met	

#### Gifted Performance Index

Performance Index:	115.079	Districts with at least 10 unique students in the Gifted Performance Index calculation must score 116.0 or better to meet the Gifted Performance Index component.
Performance Index Met?:	Not Met	

#### Gifted Inputs

Total Points:	66.0	Points are earned based on identification and services provided to gifted students. Districts must earn at least 60 points out of a possible 100 to meet the Gifted Inputs component.
Gifted Inputs Met?:	Met	

#### Gifted Indicator Final Result


INDICATOR

Not Met

The Gifted Indicator is Met if none of the three components are Not Met. Gifted Inputs alone cannot determine the Gifted Indicator, however; if both the Value Added and Performance Index components are NC, then the Gifted Indicator is also NC.

### Performance Index


The Performance Index calculation for gifted students. Only tests taken by students identified as gifted in that subject (e.g. gifted in Math and taking the Math test), or taken by students identified with Super Cognitive abilities regardless of test subject are included.



115.079 of a possible 120.0

- A = 90.0 - 100.0%
- B = 80.0 - 89.9%
- C = 70.0 - 79.9%
- D = 50.0 - 69.9%
- F = 0.0 - 49.9%


Achievement Level	Pct of Students		Points for this Level	=	Points Received
Advanced Plus	5.3	x	1.3	=	6.9
Advanced	67.7	x	1.2	=	81.3
Accelerated	15.3	x	1.1	=	16.9
Proficient	8.5	x	1.0	=	8.5
Basic	2.6	x	0.6	=	1.6
Limited	0.0	x	0.3	=	0.0
Untested	0.5	x	0.0	=	0.0
					<b>115.079</b>




### Gifted Indicator

The Gifted Inputs calculation assigns points based on the percentage of students identified and served in eight categories (factors). The points earned for each category are totaled to determine the final Met/Not Met determination for the Gifted Input component.


Reading, Math, Science, Social Studies, and Superior Cognitive Abilities




Visual/Performing Arts and Creative Thinking



Disadvantaged Students



Minority Students



Receiving Gifted Services


Identified as Gifted, but not receiving services

Not Identified as Gifted


## Identification and Services

These charts show the percentage of enrolled students that are identified as gifted and that are receiving gifted services.


All Grades




Grades K-3



Grades 4-8







Grades 9-12




### Identified and Receiving Services

These charts show, of the students identified as gifted, the percentage of students receiving gifted services.



### Screening

This chart shows the percentage of students screened for gifted abilities this school year.



### Acceleration

Number of Subject Accelerated Students:

31

Number of Whole-Grade Accelerated Students:

< 10

## Progress



The Progress component looks closely at the growth that all students are making based on their past performances.

COMPONENT GRADE
D

GRADE	Overall
F	This measures the progress for all students in math, ELA, science and social studies using tests in grades 4-8 and some end-of-course exams.

GRADE	Gifted Students
F	This measures the progress for students identified as gifted in reading, math, science, social studies and/or superior cognitive ability.

GRADE	Students in the Lowest 20% in Achievement
C	This measures the progress for students identified as the lowest 20% statewide in reading, math, science or social studies achievement.

GRADE	Students with Disabilities
C	This measures the progress for students with disabilities.

## Progress Details

These tables show the Progress scores by test grade and subject for students in grades 4-8 and some end-of-course tests, and includes up to three years of data as available.

Test Grade	Progress Score			
	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Social Studies	Science
All Grades	-5.77	-1.18	5.00	-1.33
4th Grade	-1.36	-2.77		
5th Grade	-4.50	-1.20		-1.68
6th Grade	-0.51	-5.44	5.00	
7th Grade	-5.75	-0.22		
8th Grade	-5.70	2.53		-0.05

Test Grade		Progress Score
High School	English I	6.33
	English II	-0.60


Test Grade		Progress Score
High School	Algebra I	7.27
	Geometry	1.56

Although Progress scores are not assigned letter grades at this level of detail, the grading scale applied at the Overall (All Students, All Tests) level is:

A = 2.00 and up  
 B = 1.00 to 1.99  
 C = -1.00 to 0.99  
 D = -2.00 to -1.01  
 F = below -2.00

### Progress vs. Performance Index

This bubble chart shows the relationship between each subgroup's Performance Index results (horizontal axis) to the Value-Added letter grade (vertical axis). The size of the bubble represents the size of the student subgroup.



# Gap Closing



The Gap Closing component shows how well schools are meeting the performance expectations for our most vulnerable populations of students in English language arts, math and graduation.

COMPONENT GRADE


F

GRADE

F




## Annual Measurable Objectives

Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) compare the performance of student groups to a state goal which is displayed as the red line in the following charts. These charts show how well each group achieves that goal in reading, math and graduation – and emphasize any achievement gaps that exist between groups. The ultimate goal is for all groups to achieve at high levels.



49.2%

- A = 90.0 - 100.0%
- B = 80.0 - 89.9%
- C = 70.0 - 79.9%
- D = 60.0 - 69.9%
- F = 0.0 - 59.9%



The red line on each graph identifies the Annual Measurable Objective. The 2016 AMO for ELA is 74.2%, for Math is 68.5%, and for Graduation Rate is 82.8%. Subgroups with fewer than 30 students are not rated and do not appear on the graphs.

## Graduation Rate



The Graduation Rate component looks at the percent of students who are successfully finishing high school with a diploma in four or five years.

COMPONENT GRADE

**A**

### 4-Year Graduation Rate


The 4-year graduation rate applies to the Class of 2015 who graduated within four years, i.e. students who entered the 9th grade in 2012 and graduated by 2015.

GRADE


**A**

**95.4%**

A = 93.0 - 100.0%  
 B = 89.0 - 92.9%  
 C = 84.0 - 88.9%  
 D = 79.0 - 83.9%  
 F = 0.0 - 78.9%




4-Year Rate



District  
 State Average  
 Similar Districts

5-Year Rate



District  
 State Average  
 Similar Districts

### 5-Year Graduation Rate


The 5-year graduation rate applies to the Class of 2014 who graduated within five years, i.e. students who entered the 9th grade in 2011 and graduated by 2015.

GRADE


**A**

**98.3%**

A = 95.0 - 100.0%  
 B = 90.0 - 94.9%  
 C = 85.0 - 89.9%  
 D = 80.0 - 84.9%  
 F = 0.0 - 79.9%



Graduation Rate Trend



Note: The 5-year graduation rate does not appear in the final year of this graph because the necessary data is not yet available to calculate the 5-year rate for that school year.

## K-3 Literacy



The K-3 Literacy component looks at how successful the school is at getting struggling readers on track to proficiency in third grade and beyond.


### COMPONENT GRADE

C

GRADE

C

K-3 Literacy Improvement



48.1%

38 out of 79

A = 81.4 - 100.0%  
 B = 62.6 - 81.3%  
 C = 43.8 - 62.5%  
 D = 25.0 - 43.7%  
 F = 0.0 - 24.9%

### In Your District...

< 10 kindergarten students were not on-track last year.

NC of those students improved to on-track in 1st grade.

< 10 first grade students were not on-track last year.

NC of those students improved to on-track in 2nd grade.

< 10 second grade students were not on-track last year.

NC of those students improved to on-track in 3rd grade.

< 10 third grade students were not on-track this year.

NC of those students reached proficiency on the 3rd grade OAA.

### Details of Measure

Not On-Track at Point A		Improving to On-Track at Point B	
Kindergarten Reading Diagnostic, School Year 2014 - 2015	< 10	to	1st Grade Reading Diagnostic, School Year 2015 - 2016 < 10
1st Grade Reading Diagnostic, School Year 2014 - 2015	< 10	to	2nd Grade Reading Diagnostic, School Year 2015 - 2016 < 10
2nd Grade Reading Diagnostic, School Year 2014 - 2015	< 10	to	3rd Grade Reading Diagnostic, School Year 2015 - 2016 < 10
3rd Grade Reading Diagnostic, School Year 2015 - 2016	< 10	to	3rd Grade Reading OST, School Year 2015 - 2016 < 10
Deduction for 3rd graders who did not pass OST and were not on a Reading Improvement and Monitoring Plan			NC
Totals	79		38

Percentage On-Track in Reading Diagnostic



This chart shows the overall percentage of students that were on-track/not-on-track for each grade level reading diagnostic in 2015-2016.

Third Grade Reading Guarantee

Ohio's Third Grade Reading Guarantee ensures that students are successful in reading before moving on to fourth grade. Schools must provide supports for struggling readers in early grades. If a child appears to be falling behind in reading, the school will immediately start a Reading Improvement and Monitoring Plan. The program ensures that every struggling reader gets the support he or she needs to learn and achieve.

Students have multiple opportunities to meet promotion requirements including meeting a minimum promotion score on the reading portion of the state's third grade English language arts test given twice during the school year. Students have an additional opportunity to take the state assessment in the summer, as well as a district-determined alternative assessment.

How many third graders met the Third Grade Reading Guarantee requirements for promotion to 4th grade? **100.0%**

How many third graders scored proficient on the state Reading test? **67.1%**

## Prepared for Success




Whether training in a technical field or preparing for work or college, the Prepared for Success component looks at how well prepared Ohio's students are for all future opportunities.

COMPONENT GRADE

C

COMPONENT GRADE

C



47.4%


- A = 85.0% - 100.0%
- B = 65.0% - 84.9%
- C = 34.0% - 64.9%
- D = 15.0% - 33.9%
- F = 0.0% - 14.9%

Number of students that earned a remediation free score on all parts of the ACT or SAT, earned an honors diploma, and/or earned an industry-recognized credential

The number of "bonus" students that count an additional 0.3 bonus points each, because they did the above and also earned a 3 or higher on at least one AP exam; earned a 4 or higher on at least one IB exam; and/or earned at least three college credits before leaving high school

	Number of Students	Point Value	Points Earned
Number of students that earned a remediation free score on all parts of the ACT or SAT, earned an honors diploma, and/or earned an industry-recognized credential	103	1	103.0
The number of "bonus" students that count an additional 0.3 bonus points each, because they did the above and also earned a 3 or higher on at least one AP exam; earned a 4 or higher on at least one IB exam; and/or earned at least three college credits before leaving high school	53	0.3	15.9
<b>Total Points:</b>			<b>118.9</b>
<b>Graduation Cohort:</b>			<b>251</b>
<b>Percentage:</b>			<b>47.4%</b>

### How Prepared were Your 2014 and 2015 Graduating Classes?




Note: These data represent students in the 4-year and 5-year graduation rates, i.e. students who entered 9th grade in 2011 and 2012.

### How Prepared were Your 2014 and 2015 Graduating Classes?


Districts and schools have long-term impacts on student outcomes. The Prepared for Success component provides information on how schools prepare students for different pathways of college and career success. It also provides insights on how those students do once they leave high school. What happens beyond the diploma is an important indicator of how well schools are preparing students.

The University System of Ohio provides [district reports](#) on enrollment and remediation of high school graduates attending in-state, public colleges and universities.

What Percentage of the 2013 Graduating Class Entered College within Two Years? **67.1 %**



What Percentage of the 2009 Graduating Class Graduated from College within Six Years of Leaving High School? **39.2 %**



---

Note: These data represent students in the 4-year and 5-year graduation rates, i.e. students who entered 9th grade in 2011 and 2012.



Superintendent: Lisa A. Carmichael  
 Address: 457 Shelby Ontario Rd  
 Mansfield OH 44906-1029

Directory information current as of the 2015-2016 Report Card publication date

Phone: (419) 747-4311

County: Richland

Career Tech

Planning District: Pioneer CTC CTPD

## Your District's Students

Average Daily Enrollment:

1,905


Number of Limited English Proficiency Students Excluded from Accountability Calculations:

--

### Enrollment by Subgroup

	Enrollment #	Enrollment %
Am. Indian / Alaskan Native	NC	
Asian or Pacific Islander	59	3.1%
Black, Non-Hispanic	82	4.3%
Hispanic	40	2.1%
Multiracial	117	6.2%
White, Non-Hispanic	1,602	84.1%
Students with Disabilities	172	9.0%
Economically Disadvantaged	734	38.5%
Limited English Proficiency	17	0.9%
Migrant	NC	

NC = Not Calculated because there are fewer than 10 in the group



Enrollments of less than 10 students are not shown.

State and federal law require an annual assessment of Limited English Proficient (LEP) students to measure their English language proficiency. The Ohio English Language Proficiency Assessment (OELPA) is the assessment used in Ohio to gauge LEP students' growth in learning English. For information about your district's OELPA results, see the Department of Education's web site at <http://education.ohio.gov>.


### Attendance

#### Chronic Absenteeism Rate:

7.1%

	Attendance Rate
All Students	95.7%
Am. Indian / Alaskan Native	NC
Asian or Pacific Islander	96.5%
Black, Non-Hispanic	96.4%
Hispanic	95.7%
Multiracial	95.7%
White, Non-Hispanic	95.6%
Students with Disabilities	95.3%
Economic Disadvantage	95.4%
Limited English Proficiency	96.3%
Migrant	NC
Male	95.9%
Female	95.5%

NC = Not Calculated because there are fewer than 10 in the group




Attendance Rate is not shown if enrollment is less than 10.

Mobility Rates by Subgroup

	District Mobility %
All Students	6.6%
Am. Indian / Alaskan Native	NC
Asian or Pacific Islander	3.3%
Black, Non-Hispanic	17.9%
Hispanic	13.6%
Multiracial	5.6%
White, Non-Hispanic	6.0%
Students with Disabilities	15.4%
Economically Disadvantaged	9.5%
Limited English Proficiency	0.0%
Migrant	NC

NC = Not Calculated because there are fewer than 10 in the group



This chart shows the percentage of students who, because they moved into or out of the district, did not spend a majority of the school year within the district.

### Your District's Teachers

	All Schools in the District	High Poverty Schools	Low Poverty Schools
Percentage of teachers with at least a Bachelor's Degree	100.0	0.0	0.0
Percentage of teachers with at least a Master's Degree	50.1	0.0	0.0
Percentage of core academic subject and elementary classes not taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0	--	--
Percentage of core academic subject and elementary classes taught by properly certified teachers	100	--	--
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes taught by teachers with temporary, conditional or long-term substitute certification/licensure	0	--	--

A district's high poverty schools are those ranked in the top quartile based on the percentage of the district's economically disadvantaged students. Low-poverty schools are those ranked in the bottom quartile based on the percentage of economically disadvantaged students. A district may have buildings in both quartiles, in just one quartile, or in neither quartile.

NC = Not Calculated because there are fewer than 10 in the group

### Educators in your District

	#	Per 1000 Students	State Avg per 1000 Students
School Counselors	4.0	2.1	2.3
School Nurses	1.0	0.5	1.1
School Psychologists	1.0	0.5	1.2
Interpreters	0.0	0.0	0.2
Library or Media Specialists	2.0	1.0	1.4
Audiologist	0.0	0.0	0.0
Physical/Occupational Therapist	3.0	1.6	0.8
Social Worker	0.0	0.0	0.2
General Education Teachers	76.3	40.1	46.4
Career-Technical Teachers	3.0	1.6	2.3
Special Education Teachers	12.3	6.4	10.6
Teacher Aides	0.0	0.0	7.0
Gifted Intervention Specialists	0.0	0.0	0.6
Fine Arts Teachers	6.0	3.1	3.0
Music Teachers	3.0	1.6	2.5
Physical Education Teachers	6.0	3.1	2.9
ELL Specialists	0.0	0.0	0.3
Adaptive Physical Education Teachers	0.0	0.0	0.0
Speech Language Pathologists	1.0	0.5	1.4

### Your District's Principals

Percentage of principals with at least a Bachelor's Degree	100.0%
Percentage of principals with at least a Master's Degree	100.0%

Attendance Rate

**96.5%**

Average Salary

**\$56,839**


Average Years of Experience

**16**

Lead or Senior Teachers


**4.0**

Teacher Evaluations



Accomplished Skilled Developing  
Ineffective Not Complete

Principal Evaluations



Accomplished Skilled Developing  
Ineffective Not Complete

### Wellness and Physical Education

The extent to which students are successful in meeting the benchmarks contained in Ohio's physical education standards

Moderate Success

Compliance with the federal requirement for implementing a local wellness policy



Elected to administer BMI screening



Participation in Physical Activity Pilot Program



### School Choice Options: Place of Enrollment for Students Residing in the District

The School Choice Options data is a set of nine counts describing the place of enrollment for students residing in the school district, captured as a snapshot of a single day in the school year. Web links provide further information about certain options.

#### Districts and STEM Schools

1,511 students enrolled in the district where they lived

59 students enrolled in another public district through Open Enrollment

18 students enrolled in another public district by means other than Open Enrollment

#### Community Schools

55 students enrolled in an online community school

13 students enrolled in a site-based community school

22 students enrolled in a Dropout Prevention and Recovery Program (online or site-based)\*

\*Students included in Dropout Prevention and Recovery Program counts are also included in either the online or site-based community school counts.

#### Non-Public Schools\*

0 students participated in the EdChoice Scholarship or Cleveland Scholarship Program

9 students participated in the EdChoice Expansion Program

9 students participated in the Ohio Autism Scholarship or Jon Peterson Special Needs Scholarship Program

\*ODE does not collect and cannot report information on district residents who are non-voucher students attending a non-public school.

## Financial Data



These measures answer several questions about spending and performance. How much is spent on Classroom instruction? How much, on average, is spent on each student? What is the source of the revenue? How do these measures compare to other districts and schools?

Comparison Group: Enrollment between 1000 and 2499

### Classroom Spending Data


What percent of funds are spent on classroom instruction?

**69.0%**

How does this district rank in comparison to other districts of similar size?

**66 out of 276**



A rank of 1 indicates the highest percent spent on classroom instruction.



District

Comparison Group

State




Classroom Instruction

Non-Classroom Instruction

### Spending per Pupil Data

	District	State
Operating Spending per Pupil	\$7,367	\$8,711
Classroom Instruction	\$5,086	\$5,845
Non-Classroom Spending	\$2,281	\$2,866



Ontario Local IS among the 20% of public districts with the lowest operating expenditures per pupil




Ontario Local IS NOT among the 20% of public districts with the highest academic performance index scores.

Note: District financial data do not include data associated with community schools that are sponsored by the school district.

# Spending and Performance


This measure answers the question – what is the relationship of average spending per student to performance, and how does that compare to similar districts and schools?

### Similar Districts




Spending per Pupil

### Comparison Group



Spending per Pupil

### All Districts




Spending per Pupil

# Source of Revenue


Source of Funds	District		State Total	
Local	\$8,734,960	47.3%	\$8,636,050,030	39.6%
State	\$5,393,003	29.2%	\$9,988,118,791	45.8%
Federal	\$1,040,611	5.6%	\$1,656,488,620	7.6%
Other Non-Tax	\$3,316,336	17.9%	\$1,546,639,712	7.1%
Total	\$18,484,910	100.0%	\$21,827,297,153	100.0%

District



■ Local  
■ State  
■ Federal  
■ Other Non-Tax

State



■ Local  
■ Federal  
■ State  
■ Other Non-Tax



Superintendent: Lisa A. Carmichael  
 Address: 457 Shelby Ontario Rd  
 Mansfield OH 44906-1029

Phone: (419) 747-4311  
 County: Richland

Your District's Schools

School	Achievement	Progress	Gap Closing	Graduation Rate	K-3 Literacy	Prepared for Success
Ontario High School	C	D	F	A	C	C
Ontario Middle School	C	D	F	A	C	C
Stingel Elementary School	C	D	F	A	C	C

No data returned for this view. This might be because the applied filter excludes all data.